

ASUO Constitution Court

ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION

[Submitted April 17, 2008; Decided April 21, 2008]

PER CURIAM.

I

On April 17, 2008, ASUO member and Petitioner Laura Powers submitted a Motion for Reconsideration to the Court regarding ASUO Constitution Court decision 16 C.C. (2007/08) (the decision). Article 10.3.4 of the ASUO Constitution Court Rules and Procedures states “[t]he Court shall either deny or allow reconsideration.” We previously reconsidered 16 C.C. (2007/08) in 18 C.C. (2007/08). Petitioner has presented no new evidence of a claimed factual error but has instead simply restated the same arguments rejected in 16 C.C. and 18 C.C. Reconsideration of 16 C.C. (2007/08) is again DENIED.

II

The continued controversy surrounding Election Rule 6.12 should find correction through the political process, not this Court. The rule is clear on its face. If Members of this University do not like the rule, they should change the rule. Political options abound for such a result, and that is the proper avenue for change.

III

Petitioner’s motion to again reconsider 16 C.C. (2007/08) is DENIED.

It is so ordered.